

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/683,985	09/683,985 03/08/2002 24241 7590 09/13/2006		Steven H. Voldman	BUR920020014	2597	
24241				EXAM	EXAMINER	
IBM MICROELECTRONICS				NADAV, ORI		
INTELLECT 1000 RIVER		OPERTY LAW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
972 E			2811			
ESSEX JUNCTION, VT 05452			,	DATE MAILED: 09/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)		
VOLDMAN, STEVEN H.		
Art Unit	_	
2811		
	VOLDMAN, STEVEN H. Art Unit	

	Ori Nadav	2811					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complet following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31 or				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	the final rejection.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action: or (2)	n fee under 37				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b AMENDMENTS 	dension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal o	f the appeal.				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1°)		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		manliant Amazadus sut	(DTOL 204)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all the non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wi rided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>26-43</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	I sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea vand was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s). N	3				
		ORI NADAV	-4 A				
		PRIMARY EXAMINE	ER				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitations of fonuing a plurality of isolation regions in said original substrate, said cathode and anode being disposed between adjacent ones of said plurality of isolation recions, said plurality of isolation regions extending deeper into said original substrate than said cathode and said anode, as recited in claims 27-42, warrant further consideration and/or search. Note further that even if the current limitations of claim 26 were present in the originally filed claim 26, the current rejection is not based on said limitations.